

Journal of Epidemiology and Public Health Reviews

Research Article Volume: 2.3 Open Access

Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice towards Emergency Contraceptives and Associated Factors among Wollo University (Dessie Campus) Undergraduate Female Students in Dessie, Ethiopia

Kibir Temesgen^{1*}, Amare Workie¹ and Delelegn Tsegaye²

¹Wollo University, College of Medicine and health sciences, Department of Midwifery, Ethiopia ²Wollo University, College of Medicine and health sciences, Department of Nursing, Ethiopia

*Corresponding author: Kibir Temesgen, Wollo University, College of Medicine and health sciences. Department of Midwifery, Ethiopia, Tel: +251-9-12-44-09-31; **E-mail:** kibirtemesgen78@gmail.com

Received date: 06 Mar 2017; Accepted date: 20 Apr 2017; Published date: 26 Apr 2017.

Citation: Temesgen K, Workie A, Tsegaye D (2017) Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Towards Emergency Contraceptives and Associated Factors Among Wollo University (Dessie Campus) Undergraduate Female Students in Dessie, Ethiopia. J Epidemiol Public Health Rev 2(3): doi http://dx.doi.org/10.16966/2471-8211.144

Copyright: © 2017 Temesgen K, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Introduction: Each year there are about 250 Million pregnancies globally and despite the presence of Emergency contraceptives one third of these are unintended and 20% of these undergo induced abortion. In Low income countries like Ethiopia, more than one third of the 182 million pregnancies are unintended; the fate of 19% will be induced abortion and 11% of this is unsafe. In Ethiopia, abortion emanating from unintended pregnancy is one of the most significant causes of maternal morbidity and mortality.

Objectives: To assess the knowledge, attitude and practice of Wollo University (Dessie campus) female students towards emergency contraceptives and its associated factors from November 2012 to May 2013.

Methods: A quantitative descriptive cross -sectional study was conducted on 342 female students of Wollo University (Dessie campus) from November 2012 to May 2013. Colleges in the University were categorized in to strata and from each college participants were selected by systematic random sampling.

Result: In this study from the total 342 respondents, 72.5% have heard about Emergency contraceptives, 36.5% were knowledgeable, 40.6% had positive attitude and only 21.3% ever used Emergency contraceptives. Results of logistic regression also showed that awareness and use of Emergency contraceptives were associated with religion, age, educational status of their parents, and year of study and place of origin.

Conclusion: In general the knowledge of respondents on Emergency contraceptives and its use was very low when compared to their awareness on regular methods of contraception. Factors associated with the knowledge, attitude and practice of emergency contraceptives were religion, age, educational status of their parents, year of study and place of origin.

Keywords: Emergency contraceptive; Knowledge; Attitude; practice; Unwanted Pregnancy; Female students; Wollo University.

Introduction

Emergency contraception (EC) has been in use for over 50 years, available across many countries and contexts in multiple formulations and offered through various access points [1]. Even though, the wide range of effective contraceptive options are available, women's awareness and use of these options especially in developing countries is still lagging. Barriers to access and low patient and provider awareness limit the use of Emergency contraceptives in preventing unintended pregnancies [2-4]. Consequently, unintended pregnancies continue to occur in large numbers worldwide. World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that 84 million unwanted pregnancies occur annually worldwide. About 3 million unwanted pregnancies occur in the United States. Most of these results are from nonuse of contraception or from noticeable contraceptive failure, (such as broken condom) which could be prevented with the use of Emergency contraceptives [5-7]. Despite the technological advancements in modern contraception methods, unintended pregnancy is still a big problem in Ethiopia. In Ethiopia, abortion emanating from unintended pregnancy is one of the most significant causes of maternal morbidity and mortality; it is also a major medical and public health problem. Ethiopia is one of the countries with high maternal mortality rate; the estimated rate in 2011 was 676 per100, 000 live births which is increased by three from 2005 Ethiopian democratic health survey report. Various reports show

that there is little knowledge and information available about Emergency contraceptives in the country. Even students may be aware that use of emergency contraception can prevent occurrence of unintended pregnancy, but still they may practice it inadequately due to many factors [8-11]. Therefore, the study examined the level of Knowledge, attitude and practice of Wollo University students towards Emergency contraceptives and identified important factors that influence students' awareness, practice and attitude towards it.

Methods and Materials

A quantitative descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted from November 2012 to May 2013 on female students of Wollo University (Dessie campus) to assess their knowledge, attitudes and practice towards emergency contraceptive and associated factors. There are six colleges and one teacher and pedagogical institute in Dessie campus. From a total of 6,354 students in all colleges 1846 were females. Sample size was determined taking the following assumptions; the proportion of students who are aware of emergency contraception to be 43.5% (taken from previous study). Confidence interval of 95%, margin of error 5% and 5% non response rate was taken. Since the population is less than 10,000 correction formula was used. The sample size, therefore, was 347. Stratified sampling technique was employed in this research. Students from each



college (strata) were taken proportionally. Data collection was carried out using structured self-administered questionnaires that were prepared in English and translated into Amharic and then back translated to English. Prior to the actual data collection, the questionnaire was pre-tested on similar populations in Combolcha campus using 5% of the sample sizes (17 students) and necessary corrections were incorporated accordingly. The researcher determined the knowledge about Emergency contraceptive pills using seven multiple-choice questions. Students were considered knowledgeable about Emergency contraceptives if they correctly answer 4 or more knowledge questions. Those who gave four or more response in favor of Emergency contraceptives among eight [8] attitude questions were considered as having a positive attitude. The student's practice was measured whether they ever used Emergency contraceptives or not. For data processing and analysis, SPSS version 16 was used. Data was checked for completeness and consistency. Coded data were entered into computer programs after the required cleaning was done. Frequency distribution tables were used to describe most of the findings and graphs were also plotted for some accordingly; and other descriptive summaries were calculated. A logistic regression test was used to control confounding variables. The output of the analysis was given using odds ratio with their respective confidence intervals. P value of 0.05 was taken as level of significance. Ethical clearance was obtained from ethical review board of department of Nursing, College of health Sciences. Written permission was obtained from Wollo University. Verbal consent was also obtained from individual participants to conduct the study.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics

Response was obtained from 342 female students and five questionnaires were missed making the response rate 98.5%. As shown in Table 1 slightly more than half of the respondents (50.3%) were less than or equal to 20 years of age. The mean age was 20.6 years and median age of 24.5 years, ranging from 17 to 35 years. Most of the respondents 77.8% were followers of the Orthodox Christianity and 71.9% of respondents were Amhara. Eighty five point four percent of the respondents were single and 14% were married. Majority of respondents 59.9% came from urban area and 40.1% from rural area. From the total 342 respondents, 62.3% were first year, and 37.7% were second year and above.

Sexual and reproductive Characteristics

Table 2 indicates about 49.1% of the respondents had sexual intercourse in their life time while 50.9% had never experienced sexual intercourse. Of those who ever had sexual intercourse, about 43.5% were by the consent of the female, while 56.5% were forced.

Of those who had forced sex, unwanted pregnancy was the most common problem they had faced which was a problem of 57.9% respondents. Of those who ever had sexual intercourse 70.2% of them had been pregnant and 46.6% of pregnancies were unwanted.

Knowledge of emergency contraceptives

Table 3 shows that from the total of 342 respondents 72.5% had heard about Emergency contraceptives while 27.5% did not hear. Emergency oral contraceptive pills were the most common known method which accounts 70.6% and 26.6% of respondents knew about both Emergency oral contraceptive pills and IUCD while only 2.8% of students knew IUCD. The sources of information for respondents to know about emergency oral contraceptive pills or IUCD were health institutions, 28.2% and mass media, 27.8%. Regarding the recommended time to take Emergency oral contraceptive pills majority of the respondents, 74.2% said that Emergency oral contraceptive pills should be taken within 72 hours after unprotected sex. The majority of respondents, 61.7% did not knew the appropriate

time to take IUCD type of Emergency contraceptives, 23.0% said within 72 hours and 14.5% said it should be taken within 24 hours. Therefore, the summary index showed that only 36.5% were knowledgeable about Emergency contraceptives while the rest 63.5% were not knowledgeable.

As indicated in the Figure 1, majority of respondents 40.7% knew all the situations/conditions to take emergency contraceptives, while 37.9% of respondents said that it should be taken at the time of forced sex only.

Knowledgeable: A woman is considered knowledgeable if she recalled at least one long acting contraceptive method (i.e. either implants or IUCD).

Table1: Socio-demographic and academic Characteristics among WU (Dessie campus) female Students; March 2013 (n=342)

Age ≤ 20 50.3 21-24 46.8 22.9 Religion 77.8 Muslim Orthodox 77.8 Muslim Protestant 8.5 Catholic 2.0 Others 0.3 Ethnic group Amhara 71.9 Tigrie 13.5 Oromo 7.6 Others 7.0 Place of origin Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status Single 85.4 Ever Maried Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) 37.4 Can read and write and read 37.4 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 26.6 Cannot write and read 14.9 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 26.6 Cannead and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 Current residence In campus 98.5 50 50-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 26.6 50-1499 41.8<	Characteristics	Percent (%)
≤ 20 50.3 21-24 46.8 25 and above 2.9 Religion Orthodox 77.8 Muslim 11.4 Protestant 8.5 Catholic 2.0 Others 0.3 Ethnic group Amhara 71.9 Tigrie 13.5 Oromo 7.6 Others 7.0 Place of origin Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status Single 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≤ 500	Age	, ,
21-24 46.8 25 and above 2.9 Religion 77.8 Orthodox 77.8 Muslim 11.4 Protestant 8.5 Catholic 2.0 Others 0.3 Ethnic group		50.3
25 and above 2.9 Religion 77.8 Muslim 11.4 Protestant 8.5 Catholic 2.0 Others 0.3 Ethnic group 71.9 Amhara 71.9 Tigrie 13.5 Oromo 7.6 Others 7.0 Place of origin 85.4 Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) 26.6 Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≤ 500 ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence 1.5 In campus 98.5 Out o		
Religion 77.8 Muslim 11.4 Protestant 8.5 Catholic 2.0 Others 0.3 Ethnic group		
Orthodox 77.8 Muslim 11.4 Protestant 8.5 Catholic 2.0 Others 0.3 Ethnic group		
Muslim 11.4 Protestant 8.5 Catholic 2.0 Others 0.3 Ethnic group		77.8
Protestant 2.0 Catholic 2.0 Others 0.3 Ethnic group Amhara 71.9 Tigrie 13.5 Oromo 7.6 Others 7.0 Place of origin Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status Single 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≥ 500 26.6 Social science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
Catholic 2.0 Others 0.3 Ethnic group 71.9 Tigrie 13.5 Oromo 7.6 Others 7.0 Place of origin Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status Single 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) Can read and write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≤ 500 ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus Out of campus 98.5 Vear I 37.7 Year I 37.7 Year II and above		
Others 0.3 Ethnic group 71.9 Amhara 71.9 Tigrie 13.5 Oromo 7.6 Others 7.0 Place of origin Urban Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status Single 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) Can read and write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family \$500 ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence 1.5 In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year I 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents		2.0
Ethnic group Amhara 71.9 Tigrie 13.5 Oromo 7.6 Others 7.0 Place of origin Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status Single 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 Current residence In campus 98.5 Out of campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year I 37.7 Year Il and above 62.3 College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		-
Amhara 71.9 Tigrie 13.5 Oromo 7.6 Others 7.0 Place of origin Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status Single 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) Can read and write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≤ 500 ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year I 37.7 Year Il and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Bocial scien		
Tigrie 13.5 Oromo 7.6 Others 7.0 Others 7.0 Place of origin Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status Single 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus 98.5 Out of campus 98.5 Out of campus 98.5 Out of campus 98.5 College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		71.9
Oromo 7.6 Others 7.0 Place of origin	Tigrie	
Others 7.0 Place of origin 59.3 Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) 0.6 Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 14.9 Can read and write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family 26.6 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence 1.5 In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year I 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FB		
Place of origin 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) 37.4 Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 46.8 Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence 1.5 In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year II 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
Urban 59.3 Rural 40.1 Marital status 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) 37.4 Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family 46.8 ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus Out of campus 98.5 Out of campus 98.5 Year I 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
Rural 40.1 Marital status 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother)		59.3
Marital status Single 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year I 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
Single 85.4 Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother)		
Ever Married 14.0 Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 14.9 Can read and write and read 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family 26.6 ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus Out of campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year I Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		85.4
Divorced 0.6 Parents' educational status (mother) 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 14.9 Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence 1 In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year I Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
Parents' educational status (mother) Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 14.9 Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence 1 In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year I Year Il and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3	Divorced	
Cannot write and read 37.4 Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 14.9 Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence 1 In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study 7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
Can read and write 36.0 Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 14.9 Can read and write and read and write above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ≤ 500 and 501-1499 an	, ,	37.4
Above secondary school 26.6 Parents' educational status (father) 14.9 Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family • 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence • 98.5 Out of campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
Parents' educational status (father) Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ————————————————————————————————————		
Cannot write and read 14.9 Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family •• ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence •• In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study •• Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents •• Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3	-	
Can read and write 46.8 Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family ————————————————————————————————————	, ,	14.9
Above secondary school 38.3 Monthly income of family 26.6 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year II and above Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3	Can read and write	
Monthly income of family ≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year I 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
≤ 500 26.6 501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year I 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3	Monthly income of family	
501-1499 41.8 ≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		26.6
≥ 1500 31.6 Current residence In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year I 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3	501-1499	
Current residence In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
In campus 98.5 Out of campus 1.5 Year of study Year I 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
Out of campus 1.5 Year of study 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents 15.2 Health science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3	In campus	98.5
Year of study Year I 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3	·	
Year I 37.7 Year II and above 62.3 College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3	·	
College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		37.7
College of respondents Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3	Year II and above	
Health science 15.2 Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
Social science 19.9 Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		15.2
Agriculture 13.2 FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3	Social science	
FBE 20.8 Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		
Law 3.2 ITPS 5.3		-
ITPS 5.3		



Table 2: Sexual reproductive characteristics and patterns of Sexual intercourse among WU (Dessie campus) female students; March 2013

Characteristics	Percent
Ever had sexual intercourse (n=342)	
Yes	49.1
No	50.9
Total	100.0
Age of first sex (n=168)	
Less than 18	31.5
≥ 18	68.5
Total	100.0
Ever had forced sex (without consent) (n=168)	
Yes	56.5
No	43.5
Total	100.0
Problems faced after forced sex (n=95)	
Unwanted pregnancy	57.9
STI	19.0
Tension	10.5
Total	100.0
Ever had been pregnant (n=168)	
Yes	70.2
No	29.8
Total	100.0
Ever practiced induced abortion (n=118)	
Yes	90.7
No	9.3
Total	100.0
Number of abortions for those who say yes (n=107)	
One	96.3
Two	3.7
Total	100.0

Not Knowledgeable: A woman is considered not knowledgeable if she cannot recall at least one long acting contraceptive method.

Practice and Attitude towards emergency contraceptives

As indicated in Table 4 Majority 48.8% of respondents agreed that using Emergency contraceptive after unintended intercourse is important while 42.7% didn't agree. Fifty six point four percent had no willingness to advice their friends to use Emergency contraceptive in the future when need arises. More than half of respondents (56.1%) agreed that wide spread use of EC will increase prevalence of HIV/AIDS and STI. 50.6%, 57.9% and 83.9% of respondents agreed that use of emergency contraceptives is one way of abortion, affects use of regular methods negatively and Repeat use of it poses a health risk respectively. Based on this more than half of the students, 59.4% have negative attitude towards ECs while 40.6% of them have positive attitude (Table 4).

Attitude: Those who gave four or more response in favor of LARCS among eight [8] attitude questions were considered as having a positive attitude, and the rest as a negative attitude. (If respondents agree for positively stated questions (in favor of LARCs) and disagree for negatively stated ones it was taken as positive attitude and the rest were taken as negative attitude).

Factors associated with Knowledge, attitude and practice of EC among WU (Dessie campus) female students, March 2013

Cross tabulation and Logistic regression analysis was carried out to determine the association between socio-demographic factors with knowledge, attitude and practice of Emergency contraceptive among study participants. As shown on Table 5 age, marital status, study year, parity/gravidity, residence, and parents educational status has significant association with Knowledge, attitude and practice of EC (Table 5).

Table 3: Knowledge of emergency contraceptives among WU (Dessie campus) female students; March 2013

Characteristics	Por	cent
Ever heard about EC (n=342)	rei	Cent
Yes	72	2 5
No	72.5 27.5	
Total		0.0
Types of EC ever heard (n=248)		0.0
Oral emergency pills	70	0.6
IUCD		.8
Both		 3.6
Total		0.0
Source of information on EC (n=248)		
Health institution	28	3.2
Mass media		7.8
Peers/Friends		3.6
Family		.2
Teachers in the school).2
From clubs in the schools		.2
Others		.8
Total		0.0
Knowledge assessment questions for those who heard about EC		<u></u>
Recommended time to take ECPs after unprotected sex (n=248)		
Within 24 hours	25	5.0
Within 72 hours	74	1.2
4-6 days	0	.8
Total	10	0.0
Recommended time to take IUCD after unprotected sex (n=248)		
Within 24 hours	14	1.5
Within 72 hours	23.0	
4-6 days	0	.8
I don't know	61	1.7
Total	10	0.0
Effectiveness of ECPs (n=248)		
Very High (>90%)	23	3.0
High (50-89%)	56	3.1
Less effective (<50%)	15	5.7
Not effective at all	1	.2
I don't know	4	.0
Total	10	0.0
Effectiveness of IUCD (n=248)		
Very High I (>90%)	27	7.0
High (50-89%)	30).7
Less effective (<50%)		.7
Not effective at all		.4
I don't know	34.2	
Total	10	0.0
Recommended number of doses of EC (n=248)		
One dose	24.2	
Two doses	16.1	
Three doses	8.9	
I don't know	50.8	
Total	10	0.0
Recommended time between the first and next dose of ECPs (n=248)		
12 hours apart	50	0.0
24 hours apart	20).6
I don't know	29.4	
Total	10	0.0
Knowledge of ECs (Summary index)		
Not knowledgeable	217	63.5
Knowledgeable	125	36.5

Citation: Temesgen K, Workie A, Tsegaye D (2017) Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Towards Emergency Contraceptives and Associated Factors Among Wollo University (Dessie Campus) Undergraduate Female Students in Dessie, Ethiopia. J Epidemiol Public Health Rev 2(3): doi http://dx.doi.org/10.16966/2471-8211.144



Table 4: Practice and Attitude towards emergency contraceptives Among WU (Dessie campus) female students; March 2013

Characteristics	Per	cent
Ever heard about EC (n=342)		
Yes		2.5
No		7.5
Total	10	0.0
Types of EC ever heard (n=248)		
Oral emergency pills		0.6
IUCD		.8
Both		5.6
Total	10	0.0
Source of information on EC (n=248) Health institution	29	3.2
Mass media		7.8
Peers/Friends		3.6
Family		.2
Teachers in the school		0.2
From clubs in the schools	1	.2
Others		.8
Total	10	0.0
Knowledge assessment questions for those who		
heard about EC Recommended time to take ECPs after		
unprotected sex (n=248) Within 24 hours	2.	5.0
Within 72 hours		1.2
4-6 days		.8
Total		0.0
Recommended time to take IUCD after		
unprotected sex (n=248)		
Within 24 hours		1.5
Within 72 hours		3.0
4-6 days		.8
I don't know		1.7
Total	10	0.0
Effectiveness of ECPs (n=248) Very High (>90%)	2′	3.0
High (50-89%)		5.1
Less effective (<50%)		5.7
Not effective at all		.2
I don't know		.0
Total	10	0.0
Effectiveness of IUCD (n=248)		
Very High 1 (>90%)	2'	7.0
High (50-89%)		0.7
Less effective (<50%)		.7
Not effective at all		.4
I don't know Total		4.2 0.0
Recommended number of doses of EC (n=248)	10	0.0
One dose	2,	1.2
Two doses		5.1
Three doses		.9
I don't know		0.8
Total		0.0
Recommended time between the first and next		
dose of ECPs (n=248)		
12 hours apart		0.0
24 hours apart		0.6
I don't know	29	9.4
Total	10	0.0
Knowledge of ECs (Summary index)		
Not knowledgeable	217	63.5
Knowledgeable	125	36.5
5		




Figure 1: Recommended time or conditions to use EC by respondents

Discussion

Although emergency contraception is not recommended as routine family planning method it is a useful method to reduce the chance of unwanted pregnancy following unprotected sexual intercourse. The influence of respondent's socio-demographic characteristics on awareness, attitude and practice of Emergency contraceptives were discussed.

From 342 respondents, 72.5% were heard about Emergency contraceptives, 36.5% of them were knowledgeable and only 21.3% ever used Emergency contraceptives. This finding was lower when compared to similar studies conducted in Adama University (26.7%) and Addis Ababa University (51.7%) [12,13]. The possible reason for low Emergency contraceptives practice rate in this study could be due to lack of correct information, low promotion and availability of the methods as well as lack of student clubs that works on reproductive health in the University. Oral Emergency contraceptive pills were the most widely known and used emergency contraceptives (51.2%). This finding corresponds to survey conducted in Mekelle and Adama [14,15]. The reason may be due to accessibility of pills and it is easy to administer. One hundred sixty seven (48.8%) of respondents agreed that using Emergency contraceptives after unintended intercourse is important while 146(42.7%) didn't agree. This finding was slightly higher than a similar study conducted in Mekelle which reported only 46.4% of respondents agree with importance of using Emergency contraceptives [16]. This could be due to an increased awareness of Emergency contraceptives and favorable attitude towards Emergency contraceptives with respect to time. Generally in this study, more than half of the students, 203 (59.4%) have negative attitude towards Emergency contraceptives while 139(40.6%) of them have positive attitude [17]. This finding showed more should be done to change attitude of respondents towards EC. Results of logistic regression also showed that awareness and use of Emergency contraceptives were highly influenced by socio-demographic characteristics such as religion, age, educational status of their parents, and place of origin and year of study. Students of age 20 and above years were more likely to have knowledge of Emergency contraceptives than those age less than 20 years AOR=1.89(1.56-2.57) (95%CI). This result is consistent with a study done in Harar which stated that there is positive relationship between age of the respondents and knowledge of Emergency contraceptives [18]. This may hold true since there is a possibility for female students engaging in more sexual relationship as their age increases and so interested to know about Emergency contraceptives. Awareness and use of Emergency contraceptives were also associated with study year. As years of study increased there was a relative increase on the knowledge of EC, AOR=2.8 (95%CI 2.49-3.3) for year two and above students. This may be due to the reason that senior students have more exposure to sexual and reproductive issues. Respondents with one or two parents literate were more likely to



Table 5: Association of Socio-demographic Factors and sexual & reproductive characteristics with KAP of EC among WU (Dessie campus) female students, March 2013.

Characteristics	Knowledge of EC		Attitude towards EC		Practice of EC	
Characteristics	COR (95%CI)	AOR (95% CI)	COR (95%CI)	AOR (95% CI)	Crude OR (95%CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Age						
≤ 20	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
20 +	1.87 (1.54-2.41)	1.89 (1.56-2.57)	2.04 (1.0-2.2)	2.5 (1.2-3.2)	1.3 (0.4-1.5)	1.4 (0.8-1.7)
Origin						
Urban	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Rural	0.9 (0.57-2.9)	0.9 (0.7-3.13)	0.3 (0.1-0.9)	0.6 (0.4-2.0)	0.2 (0.09-1.8)	0.5 (0.3-1.9)
Types of College						
Health	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Non-health	0.9 (0.3-2.6)	0.92 (0.5-1.8)	0.8 (0.5-1.9)	0.9 (0.7-2.1)	0.8 (0.4-1.6)	0.8 (0.5-1.8)
Year of study	·					
First year	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
2nd &above	2.8 (2.49-3.3)	2.43 (2.12-2.7)	2.9 (1.8-3.8)	2.84 (1.2-3.7)	3.71 (1.51-5.2)	3.83 (1.61-5.4)
Marital status						
Never married	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ever married	1.98 (0.9-2.95)	1.9 (1.28-2.7)	2.08 (1.2-3.5)	2.32 (1.8-3.7)	3.3 (1.12-5.58)	3.4 (1.2-5.7)
Religion						
Christian	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Muslim	0.4 (0.12-1.1)	0.5 (0.19-1.24)	0.68 (0.5-1.8)	0.74 (0.6-1.9)	0.78 (0.44-2.35)	0.8 (0.6-2.4)
Parent's Education status	3					
Illiterate	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
literate	3.7 (1.7-7.7)	3.5 (1.6-7.4)	1.64 (1.27-2.55)	1.82 (1.75-3.6)	1.2 (0.68-1.7)	1.3 (0.7-2.2)
Current place						
In campus	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Out of campus	1.09 (0.6-1.8)	1.09 (0.6-1.8)	3.2 (1.6-4.4)	2.9 (1.4-3.8)	1.4 (0.6-2.5)	1.5 (0.8-2.9)
Pregnancy						
Null Para	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Multi Para	2.62 (1.50- 4.56)	2.62 (1.50-4.56)	2.26 (1.84-4.45)	3.8 (2.98-4.7)	2.62 (1.50-4.56)	2.62 (1.50-4.56)

have knowledge of Emergency contraceptives than students from illiterate parents OR=3.7 (95%CI 1.7-4.7). Respondents who were knowledgeable about Emergency contraceptives had more likely to have positive attitude towards Emergency contraceptives as compared with those who had not knowledgeable, which is consistent as study conducted in Mekelle [19].

Conclusion

This study identified that awareness of regular contraceptive methods was high among Wollo University (Dessie campus) female students (96.8%) while the practice was low (39.2%). Regarding Emergency contraceptives, 72.5% of them were aware of it, 36.5% were knowledgeable, 40.6% had positive attitude and only 21.3% ever used Emergency contraceptives. In general the knowledge of respondents on Emergency contraceptives and its use was very low when compared to their awareness on regular methods of contraception. Even those who were aware of Emergency contraceptives were not using Emergency contraceptives because of different reasons such as religious rejections and negative attitude towards the methods.

Emergency oral contraceptive pills were the most common known and used method of emergency contraceptives. The major source of information for the respondents was health institutions followed by mass media.

Recommendation

To enhance the appropriate awareness and access to Emergency contraceptives among adolescents, Strategies and programs should be specifically designed in the country in general and in Universities in particular. University personnel's should encourage establishment of school clubs that create awareness on reproductive health services (such as

Emergency contraceptives and other contraceptive use) through financial and emotional support.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest in this research article.

Acknowledgements

In the first place, my gratitude and appreciation is to my advisor Ato Minda Tesemma for reviewing my research paper. The author would like to express appreciation for Wollo university female students, who participated in the study, for their time to participate in the study.

I also thank the academic deans, department heads of Wollo University for their help in providing official data. Finally my sincere appreciation goes to my family and friends for their moral & material support and encouragement throughout my study period.

References

- Williams K (2011) Provider-related barriers to accessing emergency contraception in developing countries: A literature review. Population Council Inc. Washington, DC.
- Ethiopian Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (2004) A Training Curriculum for Mid-level Health Workers in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- The Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation (2017) 2400 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025.
- Harrison T (2005) Availability of emergency contraception: a survey of hospital emergency department staff. Ann Emerg Med 46: 105-110.

Citation: Temesgen K, Workie A, Tsegaye D (2017) Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Towards Emergency Contraceptives and Associated Factors Among Wollo University (Dessie Campus) Undergraduate Female Students in Dessie, Ethiopia. J Epidemiol Public Health Rev 2(3): doi http://dx.doi.org/10.16966/2471-8211.144



- Wegene T, Fikre E (2007) Knowledge, Attitude and Practice on Emergency Contraceptives Among Female University Students in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health dev 21: 111-116.
- National Women's Law Center (2010) Providing emergency contraception to sexual assault survivors.
- 7. Central statistics authority (CSA) (2011) Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey, preliminary report. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- 8. S Worku, M Fantahun (2006) Unintended Pregnancy and Induced Abortion in a Town with Accessible Family Planning Services: The case of Harar in Eastern Ethiopia . Ethiop J Health Dev 20: 79-83.
- Raymond EG, Trussell J, Polis C (2007) Population Effect of Increased Access to Emergency Contraceptive Pills: A Systematic Review. Obstet Gynecol 109: 181-188.
- Raymond EG, Creinin MD, Barnhart KT, Lovvorn AE, Rountree RW, et al. (2000) Meclizine for prevention of nausea associated with use of emergency contraceptive pills: a randomized trial. Obstet Gynecol 95: 271-277.
- 11. Haile A, Fesseha N (2006) Emergency contraception potential clients and provider's perspective. Ethiop J Health Sci 16.
- Polis CB, Schaffer K, Blanchard K, Glasier A, Harper CC, et al. (2007) Advance Provision of Emergency Contraception for Pregnancy Prevention (full review). Cochrane Database Syst Rev 18: CD005497.

- Polis CB, Grimes DA, Schaffer K, Blanchard K, Glasier A, et al. (2010) Advance provision of emergency contraception for pregnancy prevention (full review). Cochrane Database Syst Rev: 1-33.
- Berhanu dessalegn (2006) Assessment of KAP on Emergency Contraceptives Among Women Seeking Post Abortion Care in Addis Ababa.1-48.
- Trussell J, Elizabeth G (2006) Raymond, Emergency Contraception: A Last Chance to Prevent Unintended Pregnancy. Office of Population research: 1-38.
- Jackson RA, Bimla-Schwarz E, Freedman L, Darney P (2003) Advance Supply of Emergency Contraception. Effect on Use and Usual Contraception-A Randomized Trial. Obstet Gynecol 102: 8-16.
- Seife M, Fikre E (2007) Assessment of level of awareness and utilization of emergency contraception, among college female students in Oromia Regional state, Arsi Zone, Asella, South-East Ethiopia. 1-72.
- Wegene T, Fikre E (2007) Knowledge, Attitude and Practice on Emergency Contraceptives Among Female Students at Higher Education's in Addis Ababa. Ethiop J Health dev 21: 111-116.
- Ahmed A, Nugusse D (2004) Youth reproductive health problems and service in West Harrgie Ethiopia.