

Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Medicine

Research Article Volume: 1.1 Open Access

A Combination Antibiogram to Guide Empiric Therapy for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Infections. Year-to-Year Variation and Influence of Day of Hospitalization Isolation

Bayan Alnamnakani¹ and John A Bosso¹,2*

¹The South Carolina College of Pharmacy, Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Outcome Sciences, Charleston, South Carolina, USA

²The Medical University of South Carolina College of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Charleston, South Carolina, USA

*Corresponding author: John A Bosso, PharmD, South Carolina College of Pharmacy, Charleston, USA, Tel: 843-792-8501; Fax: 843-792-1712; E-mail: bossoja@musc.edu

Received date: 29 Aug 2016; Accepted date: 17 Oct 2016; Published date: 21 Oct 2016.

Citation: Alnamnakani B, Bosso JA (2016) A Combination Antibiogram to Guide Empiric Therapy for Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infections. Year-to-Year Variation and Influence of Day of Hospitalization Isolation. J Clin Lab Med 1(1): doi http://dx.doi.org/10.16966/2572-9578.105

Copyright: © 2016 Alnamnakani B, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Background: Infections with multidrug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are often encountered in some practice settings/patient populations and may require empiric treatment with combination therapy. Institution and/or organism-specific antibiograms should help to inform empiric combination therapy in such cases.

Objectives: To create annual combination antibiograms spanning several years to inform empiric therapy for suspected or known *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. Secondary objectives were to assess year-to-year variation in results and compare isolates cultured before and after day three of hospitalization.

Methods: Using available susceptibility data for the years 2009 through 2012, annual combination antibiograms, using an antipseudomonal β-lactam as the primary antibiotic, were constructed and results compared. In addition, results for organisms isolated on day three or later of hospitalization were contrasted against those collected prior to day three.

Results: Combination antibiograms developed to determine best combinations for the years 2009 through 2012 suggested a β-lactam plus an aminoglycoside provided optimal coverage in our setting regardless of length of hospitalization.

Conclusion: Annual combination antibiograms for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates provide guidance for empiric therapy and varied little from year-to-year over this 4-year period of observation within our institution.

Keywords: Antibiogram; Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Introduction

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is responsible for a variety of serious nosocomial infections including ventilator-associated pneumonia and catheter-associated urinary tract infections [1]. As this pathogen is capable of expressing numerous resistance mechanisms including beta-lactamase production, decreased permeability of the outer bacterial membrane and active efflux, [2] selection of appropriate treatment is challenging and related infections are associated with significant morbidity and mortality [3]. A number of rationales have been described for the empirical institution of combination therapy in treating Pseudomonas aeruginosa or other gram-negative infections including expansion of the spectrum of activity, potential synergy, avoidance of adverse drug reactions (presuming smaller doses of each antibiotic), and prevention of resistance [4]. While there is a paucity of data to support most of these rationales, there is no question that a properly selected combination of agents, based upon local susceptibility data, will indeed broaden the spectrum of activity and increase the odds that one of the agents will possess in vitro activity against the pathogen. This practice has, in fact, been incorporated into the current national guidelines for the treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia [5]. Thus, selection of the two antimicrobials is critical and an institutionspecific combination antibiogram should inform these decisions in cases of suspected or proven Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections. Combination antibiograms, which consider the activity of two antibiotic combinations against a collection of bacterial isolates, can be used to guide such therapy. The objectives of this study were to prepare a combination antibiogram for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at our institution and secondarily to assess year-to-year variation and the influence of hospital day of isolation on the results.

Methods

Pseudomonas aeruginosa susceptibility data for the antibiotics of interest for the years 2009 through 2012 represented results from tests performed by our institution's Clinical Microbiology Laboratory. These data were de-identified prior to any analysis. Our academic medical center is a 709 bed, tertiary care facility providing care for both adult and pediatric patients although only isolates from adult patients were considered in this analysis. Each year's data were assessed separately and duplicate isolates (same organism from same patient, regardless of body site, from same year) were not included. Isolates from patients with cystic fibrosis were not included in this analysis as these often exhibit higher levels of resistance and have been shown to skew the average numbers generated for antibiograms [6]. Susceptibility was determined using disk diffusion as per CLSI guidance [7] and interpretive criteria were not changed by our laboratory during the study period. For purposes of our analysis, organisms were considered either susceptible or non-susceptible. The antibiotics of interest were the formulary anti-pseudomonal beta-lactams,



aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones. These specific medications included cefepime, meropenem, aztreonam, pipericillin/tazobactam, amikacin, tobramycin, gentamicin and ciprofloxacin. To determine the combined in vitro activity or coverage for a given combination in a given year, the number of total isolates susceptible to a given β -lactam was first determined. Subsequently, the number of non-susceptible isolates to each β-lactam that were susceptible to each of the other antibiotics was enumerated. In this way the total number/percent of isolates in a given year susceptible to any given combination was determined and the most active or best combinations identified. Once this exercise was complete the rank order of possible combinations, based upon combined activity, was determined. Additionally, variability between years was assessed. In addition to performing this analysis for all isolates in the database, we repeated the exercise for those isolates cultured from patients within the first two days of hospitalization and those from day three onward for comparison for the years 2011 and 2012, as those in the latter group might be more reflective of hospital-acquired strains. As not all isolates were tested to both drugs in a given combination, calculations for percent susceptible to a combination were based on the number tested to both drugs.

Results

The total number of isolates (n=249, 244, 304 and 297 for years 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively) considered and the three most active combinations for each year are presented in table 1 while the same results for isolates cultured within the first two days of hospitalization versus those collected on day three and onward are presented in table 2. The combinations with the greatest combined activity each year, in most cases, included an anti-pseudomonal β -lactam and an aminoglycoside. In only one instance each did a second or third ranked combination include ciprofloxacin or two β -lactams. Most combinations provided combined coverage in excess of 90% except those with aztreonam (data not shown). The comparison for best combinations for isolates collected within the first two days of hospitalization ν s those collected on day three or beyond

yielded similar results. As little variation in the most active combinations was seen from year-to-year, it was determined that inferential statistical testing was not necessary to interpret the data.

Discussion

Infections with multidrug resistant Gram-negative pathogens, including Pseudomonas aeruginosa, are not only associated with considerable morbidity and mortality but present an economic burden as well, as they are associated with greater hospital/treatment costs and longer lengths of stay when compared to those associated with their drug-susceptible counterparts [8]. As rapid institution of an effective combination appears related to improved patient outcomes and shortens hospital stays, it is critical that information is readily available to the clinician making these therapeutic decisions [4]. Empiric or definitive antibiotic selection for an infection with a suspected or known pathogen is based upon such considerations as in vitro activity and favorable pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics, given the nature of the infection and patient characteristics. As in vitro activity is a prime consideration, construction of a combination antibiogram, based upon institutionspecific susceptibility data as done here, can provide that guidance. Further, such information would serve to ensure that choices recommended on an institution's clinical pathways or order forms for Pseudomonas aeruginosaassociated infections are appropriate based on local data.

The creation and use of combination antibiograms to inform empiric combination antibiotic therapy decisions for suspected or proven *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections has been described and advocated by others. Similar to our findings, Mizuta et al. [9] reported an anti-pseudomonal combination antibiogram in which the most active combinations included a β -lactam and an aminoglycoside. Combinations with the widest coverage over a four year span included ceftzidime, piperacillin/tazobactam or cefepime with either tobramycin or amikacin. As with our study, little year-to-year variation was noted. Others have constructed and used intensive care unit-specific antibiograms for

Year	No. Isolatesª	Most Active Combinations	% Susceptible to the β-lactam	% Susceptible to the Combination
	249	Meropenem+Gentamicin	83.1	98.0
2009	249	Piperacillin/tazobactam+Amikacin	88.8	97.6
	249	Cefepime+Amikacin	88.8	96.0
	244	Piperacillin/tazobactam+Amikacin	89.3	95.9
2010	244	Meropenem+Ciprofloxacin	86.9	95.1
	244	Cefepime+Amikacin	87.2	94.6
	246	Cefepime+Tobramycin	88.8	96.1
2011	305	Piperacillin/tazobactam+Gentamicin	87.8	95.4
	305	Meropenem+Cefepime	89.8	94.7
	298	Cefepime+Tobramycin	85.5	97.0
2012	259	Piperacillin/tazobactam+Tobramycin	85.9	96.3
	251	Meropenem+Amikacin	87.2	96.9

Table 1: Best Coverage Combinations by Year (all isolates) ^aNumber of isolates tested to both drugs in the combination

	Isolates Collected <3 Days of Hospitalization				Isolates Collected ≥ 3 Days of Hospitalization			
Year	No. Isolates ^a	Best Coverage Combinations	% Susceptible to the β-lactam	% Susceptible to the Combination	No. Isolates	Best Coverage Combinations	% Susceptible to the β-lactam	% Susceptible to the Combination
2011	126	CEF+TOB	89.6	99.2	126	CEF+TOB	89.2	96.3
	126	MER+TOB	91.1	97.7	167	CEF+GEN	89.2	95.8
	126	P/T+TOB	90.4	97.0	125	P/T+AMK	86.2	95.8
2012	120	CEF+TOB	86.9	98.1	114	MER+AMK	86.2	100
	120	MER+TOB	88.1	97.5	114	P/T+AMK	83.3	99.3
	120	P/T+TOB	88.1	96.9	114	CEF+AMK	84.1	99.2

Table 2: Best Coverage Combinations for Isolates Collected <3 vs ≥ 3 Days of Hospitalization aNumber of isolates tested to both drugs in the combination AMK: Amikacin; CEF: Cefepime; GEN: Gentamicin; MER: Meropenem; P/T: Piperacillin/tazobactam; TBO: Tobramcyin

Citation: Alnamnakani B, Bosso JA (2016) A Combination Antibiogram to Guide Empiric Therapy for Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infections. Year-to-Year Variation and Influence of Day of Hospitalization Isolation. J Clin Lab Med 1(1): doi http://dx.doi.org/10.16966/2572-9578.105



gram-negative pathogens [10,11]. Again, β -lactam/aminoglycoside combinations provided the best coverage.

While our creation of a combination antibiogram for Pseudomonas aeruginosa is not unique, its replication on a year-by-year basis over a four-year time span is important as it illustrates, at least for our institution that the best combinations may not vary appreciably over time. Whether this would hold true over longer time periods or at other institutions remains to be determined. However, our results suggest that a combination antibiogram based on susceptibility results from a given year would provide suitable guidance for the ensuing year. Further, the observation that results for isolates collected on day three or later of hospitalization, more likely representing nosocomial pathogens, did not vary dramatically from those in isolates collected earlier is also of interest and potential importance even though it only compares two years. It should be noted, however, that this definition of "hospital-acquired" is a loose one. Although frequently used as a discriminator, one would in fact need sequential cultures starting on hospital admission and then continued daily until a pathogen is first detected to confidently label it as "hospital-acquired". Our observation in this regard should be viewed in that light.

These results should be viewed with some caution. It must be appreciated that this was a single center study considering only *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and that our results may not apply elsewhere or for other gram-negative, multidrug resistant pathogens. A combination antibiogram considering multiple pathogens might have yielded different results and conclusions. It is also reasonable to speculate that custom combination antibiograms for individual patient care units might well yield different results from those generated with the institution's combined susceptibility database [12,13]. However, a sufficient number of isolates must be available to make such a sub-analysis reliable. As in our case, these numbers are often lacking at the individual unit level for any specific organism. As already mentioned above, whether our 4-year time frame for analysis adequately reflects or predicts more long-term changes is unknown. Also, our exclusion of isolates from cystic fibrosis patients might have affected results and subsequent conclusions.

The process of creating a combination antibiogram is neither complex nor unreasonably time consuming. Despite the theoretical limitations of our study, we are comfortable recommending that production of combination antibiograms should be considered by other institutions dealing with infections associated with multidrug-resistant pathogens and requiring combination therapy as a means to inform empiric prescribing decisions.

Financial support

None reported.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article. This work was previously presented at the American College of Clinical Pharmacy Global Conference on Clinical Pharmacy, October 20, 2015, San Francisco, California.

References

- Sievert DM, Ricks P, Edwards JR, Schneider A, Patel J, et al. (2013) Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcareassociated infections: summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2009–2010. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 34: 1-14.
- Zavascki AP, Carvalhaes CG, Picao RC, Gales AC (2010). Multidrugresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii:* resistance mechanisms and implications for therapy. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 8: 71-93.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2013) Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States.
- Tamma PD, Cosgrove SE, Maragakis LL (2012) Combination therapy for treatment of infections with gram-negative bacteria. Clin Microbiol Rev 25: 450-470.
- Kalil AC, Metersky ML, Klompas M, Palmer LB, Sweeney DA, et al. (2016) Management of Adults With Hospital-acquired and Ventilatorassociated Pneumonia: 2016 Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Thoracic Society. Clin Infect Dis 63: 575-582.
- Bosso JA, Mauldin PD, Steed LL (2006) Consequences of combining cystic fibrosis and non-cystic fibrosis derived *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa antibiotic susceptibility results in hospital antibiograms. Ann Pharmacother 40: 1946-1949.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2012) Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing-Nineteenth through Twenty-second Informational Supplements. Wayne, PA: CLSI; CLSI documents M100-S19-S22.
- Mauldin PD, Salgado CD, Hansen IS, Durup DT, Bosso JA (2010) Attributable hospital cost and length of stay associated with health care-associated infections caused by antibiotic-resistant gramnegative bacteria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:109-115.
- Mizuta M, Linkin DR, Nachamkin I, Fishman NO, Weiner MG, et al. (2006) Identification of optimal combinations for Empirical dual antimicrobial therapy of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection: Potential role of a combination antibiogram. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 27: 413-415.
- Christoff J, Tolentino J, Mawdsley E, Matushek S, Pitrak D, et al. (2010) Optimizing empirical antimicrobial therapy for infection due to Gram-negative pathogens in the intensive care unit: Utility of a combination antibiogram. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 31: 256-261.
- Pogue JM, Alaniz C, Carver PL, Pleva M, Newton D, et al. (2011) Role of unit-specific combination antibiograms for improving the selection of appropriate empiric therapy of Gram-negative pneumonia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 32: 289-292.
- Kuster SP, Ruef C, Zbinden R, Gottschalk J, Ledergerber B, et al. (2008) Stratification of cumulative antibiograms in hospital unit, specimen type, isolate sequence and duration of hospital stay. J Antimicrob Chemother 62: 1451-1461.
- Bosso JA, Sieg A, Mauldin PD (2013) A comparison of hospitalwide and custom antibiograms for clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. Hosp Pharm 48: 295-301.